arXiv:1604.06992v1 [math.CA] 24 Apr 2016

A REVISIT ON COMMUTATORS OF LINEAR AND BILINEAR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATOR

MINGMING CAO AND QINGYING XUE

ABSTRACT. Let I_α be the linear and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ be the bilinear fractional integral operators. In the linear setting, it is known that the two-weight inequality holds for the first order commutators of I_α. But the method can't be used to obtain the two weighted norm inequality for the higher order commutators of I_α. In this paper, we first give an alternative proof for the first order commutators of I_α. This new approach allows us to consider the higher order commutators. This was done by showing that the commutator $[b, I_\alpha]$ can be represented as a finite linear combination of some paraproducts. Then, by using the Cauchy integral theorem, we show that the two-weight inequality holds for the higher order commutators of I_α. In the bilinear setting, we present a dyadic proof for the characterization between BMO and the boundedness of $[b, \mathcal{I}_\alpha]$. Moreover, some bilinear paraproducts are also treated in order to obtain the boundedness of $[b, \mathcal{I}_\alpha]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the bilinear fractional integral operator $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_1(x-y_1)f_2(x-y_2)}{(|y_1|+|y_2|)^{2n-\alpha}} dy_1 dy_2, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2n,$$

Its dyadic model operator $\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(f_1, f_2)(x) := \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f_1\rangle_Q \langle f_2\rangle_Q \cdot \mathbb{1}_Q(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a dyadic grid on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The corresponding linear operators are denoted by I_α and $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}$ respectively. In 1982, Chanillo [3] first studied the commutators of I_α (or Riesz potential), and then he used it to characterize the BMO space. In 2007, Lacey [14] reconsidered the boundedness of the commutators of I_α in one dimension. He showed that the commutator with Riesz potential is a sum of dyadic paraproducts. Moreover, the result was also extended to the multi-parameter setting. The reason why the new method is valid lies in that I_α can be represented as the averaging of its dyadic version $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}$.

In two-weight setting, in 1985, Bloom [1] considered the two-weight behavior for the commutators of Hilbert transform H. It was proved that for two weights μ, λ in

Date: April 22, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Commutator; Paraproduct; Haar function; Bilinear Fractional integral Operators.

The second author was supported partly by NSFC (No. 11471041), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2014KJJCA10) and NCET-13-0065.

A_p class, the commutator $[b, H]$ is bounded from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^p(\lambda)$ if and only if the function b satisfies

$$||b||_{BMO(\nu)} := \sup_Q \frac{1}{\nu(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - \langle b \rangle| dx < \infty, \qquad \nu = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is worth pointing out that this characterization involves three independent objects b, μ, λ. Recently, Holmes, Lacey and Wick [9] gave a modern proof of Bloom's theorem when $p = 2$. In addition, Bloom's $BMO(\nu)$ space was redefined by equivalent formulations, which can be used to characterize the two-weight boundedness of certain dyadic paraproducts. Subsequently, the general ideas in [9] were applied to Calderón-Zygmund operator T. By using the dyadic representation given by Hytönen in [12], the authors [10] cleverly reduced the commutator $[b, T]$ to $[b, \mathbb{S}^{i,j}]$ ($\mathbb{S}^{i,j}$ is the dyadic shift with parameters (i, j)), and thus the commutator of Riesz transform could be used to characterize the $BMO(\nu)$ space.

Recently, by using similar ideas as in [10] and modern methods of dyadic analysis, Holmes and Wick [11] obtained the upper bounds for higher order commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators. It is worthy to point out that some new techniques sponsored by authors in [11] can be well used to deal with the very difficult part, that is, the remainder term. Later on, using the classical Cauchy integral argument, Hytönen [13] provided a brief and totally new proof for the result in [11]. Still more recently, the authors in [8] studied the first order commutators of I_α and they showed that the norm $\big\|[b, I_\alpha]\big\|_{L^p(\mu^p) \to L^q(\lambda^q)}$ is equivalent to the norm $||b||_{BMO(\nu)}$ for $\mu, \lambda \in A_{p,q}$ and $\nu = \mu\lambda^{-1}$. When it comes to the higher order commutators of I_α, we need to seek a new approach since the techniques used in [8] and [11] are invalid. The main reason lies in that, unlike the dyadic shift $\mathbb{S}^{ij}$, it is not symmetric and pretty much more complicated when dealing with the remainder terms. More works for the multi-parameter type commutators can be found in [6], [7] and [15].

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, our objects of investigation are the commutators of the linear and bilinear fractional integral operators. First, we will show that the two-weight inequality holds for the higher order commutators of I_α, defined by

$$C_b^k(I_\alpha)(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(b(x) - b(y))^k f(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy, \quad 0 < \alpha < n.$$

For the first order commutators ($k = 1$), we will give a new proof by means of dyadic fractional integral operator $I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}$. The good thing is that, in this case, the commutator $[b, I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]$ can be written as a sum of paraproducts. Fortunately, the Cauchy integral theorem provides us an efficient way by the reason that it enable us to reduce the proof to the first order case.

The main result of this paper is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 < p < q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Suppose that weights $\mu, \lambda \in A_{p,q}$ and the function $b \in BMO \cap BMO(\nu)$ with $\nu = \mu\lambda^{-1}$. Then, for any $k \geq 1$, it holds that*

$$\big\|C_b^k(I_\alpha)\big\|_{L^p(\mu^p) \to L^q(\lambda^q)} \leq C_{n,p,q,k}([\mu]_{A_{p,q}}, [\lambda]_{A_{p,q}}) ||b||_{BMO(\nu)} ||b||_{BMO}^{k-1},$$

where $C_{n,p,q,k}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is monotone increasing in both variables.

In the bilinear setting, the bilinear commutators will be of the following forms

$$[b,T]_1(f_1,f_2)(x) := bT(f_1,f_2)(x) - T(bf_1,f_2)(x),$$

and

$$[b,T]_2(f_1,f_2)(x) := bT(f_1,f_2)(x) - T(f_1,bf_2)(x).$$

We present a dyadic proof for the following characterization between dyadic BMO and the commutator of $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$. The continuous version was proved in [2].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0<\alpha<2n$, $1<p_1,p_2<\infty$, and $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{\alpha}{n}$. Suppose that $b\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\left\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_j\right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq ||b||_{BMO_{\mathcal{D}}},\quad j=1,2.$$

2. Preliminaries

In order to show our results, we here present some definitions and lemmas, which will be needed later.

2.1. **Haar functions.** Let h_Q^ϵ be an L^2 normalized Haar function related to $Q\in\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a dyadic grid on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_n)\in\{0,1\}^n$. With this we mean that h_Q^ϵ, $Q=I_1\times\cdots\times I_n$, is one of the 2^n functions h_Q^ϵ, defined by

$$h_Q^\epsilon = h_{I_1}^{\epsilon_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes h_{I_n}^{\epsilon_n},$$

where the one-dimension Haar functions :

$$h_I^0 := |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{1}_{I_-}-\mathbb{1}_{I_+}),\ h_I^1 := |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{1}_I.$$

Here I_- and I_+ are the left and right halves of the interval I respectively. For convenience, we write $\epsilon=1$ when $\epsilon_i=1$ for all i. If $\epsilon\neq 1$, the Haar function is cancellative : $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}h_Q^\epsilon=0$. All the cancellative Haar functions on a fixed dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}$ form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we may thus write

$$f=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1}\langle f,h_Q^\epsilon\rangle h_Q^\epsilon, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we have the basic facts as follows:

$$\langle f\rangle_Q=\sum_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{D}:P\supsetneq Q\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle f,h_P^\epsilon\rangle h_P^\epsilon(Q). \tag{2.2}$$

And there holds that

$$h_Q^\epsilon h_Q^\eta = |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_Q^{\epsilon+\eta},$$

where $\epsilon+\eta$ is defined by

$$(\epsilon+\eta)_i := \delta_{(\epsilon_i,\eta_i)} = \begin{cases}0, & \text{if } \epsilon_i\neq\eta_i\\ 1, & \text{if } \epsilon_i=\eta_i.\end{cases}$$

2.2. **Multiple $A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ weights.** Suppose that $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m)$ and each ω_i $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$ is a nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $\vec{\omega}$ satisfies the $A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ condition, written $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q)}$, if it satisfies

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \nu_{\vec{\omega}}^q dx\right)^{1/q} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \omega_i^{-p_i'} dx\right)^{1/p_i'} < \infty,$$

where $\nu_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i$. If $p_i = 1$, then $(\frac{1}{Q}\int_Q \omega_i^{-p_i'})^{1/p_i'}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q \omega_i)^{-1}$.

The multilinear fractional type maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{mn}}} \int_Q |f_i(y_i)|\, dy_i, \qquad \text{for } \ 0 < \alpha < mn$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the sides parallel to the axes. In addition,

We summarize some known results of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ [4] as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \le \alpha < mn$, $1 < p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then, $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(\vec{p},q)}$ if and only if either of the following two inequalities hold:*

$$\left\|\mathcal{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\right\|_{L^q(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}{}^q)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \left\|f_i\right\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i{}^{p_i})}; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\left\|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\vec{f})\right\|_{L^q(\nu_{\vec{\omega}}{}^q)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^m \left\|f_i\right\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i{}^{p_i})}. \tag{2.4}$$

For a dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}$, the dyadic square function is defined by

$$S_{\mathcal{D}} f := \left(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}, \epsilon\neq 1} \left|\langle f, h_Q^\epsilon\rangle\right|^2 \frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *([5]) If $w \in A_p$, then there holds that*

$$\left\|S_{\mathcal{D}} f\right\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\max\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{p-1}\}} ||f||_{L^p(w)}.$$

2.3. **Weighted BMO.** Let w be a weight on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The weighted BMO space $BMO(w)$ is defined to be the space of all locally integrable functions b satisfying

$$||b||_{BMO(w)} := \sup_{Q\text{: cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - \langle b\rangle_Q| dx < \infty.$$

If $w = 1$, we simply denote it by BMO. If $w \in A_p$, there holds

$$||b||_{BMO} \le ||b||_{BMO^r(w)} \le c_{n,p,[w]_{A_p}} ||b||_{BMO(w)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $w' = w^{1-p'}$ and

$$||b||_{BMO^r(w)}^r := \sup_{Q\text{: cubes in } \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |b(x) - \langle b\rangle_Q|^r dw'.$$

Given a dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}$, we define the dyadic versions of these spaces, $BMO_{\mathcal{D}}(w)$ and $BMO^r_{\mathcal{D}}(w)$, by taking the supremum over $Q \in \mathcal{D}$. The inequality (2.5) in the dyadic setting also holds. Moreover, using the equations (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain the following form of dyadic BMO :

$$||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}} = \sup_{J\in\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J \\ \epsilon\neq 1}} |\langle b, h^\epsilon_I\rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The following inequality proved in [10] will be very useful for our proofs.

Lemma 2.3. *If $w \in A_2$, then there holds that*

$$|\langle b, f\rangle| \lesssim [w]_{A_2} ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}(w)} ||S_{\mathcal{D}} f||_{L^1(w)}.$$

3. The commutator $[b, I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]$ revisited

In this section, we will demonstrate the first order case in Theorem 1.1. To finish this work, we first need the fact that I_α can be recovered from $I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$, which was shown in [8], and essentially goes back to [12] and [16]. Thus, it is enough to prove the result holds for $[b, I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]$.

We introduce the following paraproduct operators.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_b f &:= \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1} \langle b, h^\epsilon_Q\rangle \langle f\rangle_Q h^\epsilon_Q, \\
\Gamma_b f &:= \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1 \\ \epsilon\neq\eta}} \langle b, h^\epsilon_Q\rangle \langle f, h^\eta_Q\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{|Q|}} h^{\epsilon+\eta}_Q, \\
B_k(b, f) &:= \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h^\epsilon_Q\rangle \langle f, h^\eta_{Q^{(k)}}\rangle h^\eta_{Q^{(k)}} h^\epsilon_Q.
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q^{(k)}$ denotes the k-th dyadic ancestor of Q. Let Π^*_b be the adjoint of Π_b in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\Pi^*_b f = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1} \langle b, h^\epsilon_Q\rangle \langle f, h^\epsilon_Q\rangle \frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that $B_0(b, f) = \Pi^*_b f + \Gamma_b f$. We need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *The commutator $[b, I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]$ is a linear combination of the four terms :*

$$\Pi_b \circ I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha,\ I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \circ \Pi^*_b,\ \Pi^*_b \circ I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha,\ \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k\alpha/n} B_k(b, \cdot) \circ I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha.$$

Proof. Using the Haar expansion (2.1), we can write

$$[b, I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha](f) = \sum_{I,J\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h^\eta_J\rangle \langle f, h^\epsilon_I\rangle [h^\eta_J, I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha] h^\epsilon_I.$$

Note that $\epsilon \neq 1$, it holds that

$$I^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(h^\epsilon_I) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\subsetneq I} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle h^\epsilon_I\rangle_Q \mathbb{1}_Q = \Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\subsetneq I} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \mathbb{1}_Q \Big) h^\epsilon_I = c_\alpha |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} h^\epsilon_I. \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, it yields that

$$[h_J^\eta, I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]h_I^\epsilon = \begin{cases} c_\alpha |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|} - I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}\big(\frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|}\big), & \text{if } I = J \text{ and } \epsilon = \eta; \\ c_\alpha h_I^\epsilon(J)\big(|I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} - |J|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\big)h_J^\eta, & \text{if } J \subsetneq I; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by (3.1), we have

$$\langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle = \langle f, I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} h_I^\epsilon\rangle = c_\alpha |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore,

$$c_\alpha \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1} \langle b, h_I^\epsilon\rangle\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|} = \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1} \langle b, h_I^\epsilon\rangle\langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle \frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|} = \Pi_b^* \circ I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(f).$$

From the averaging formula (2.2) and (3.2), it follows that

$$\langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f\rangle_J = \sum_{\substack{I: I\supsetneq J \\ \epsilon\neq 1}} \langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon(J) = c_\alpha \sum_{\substack{I: I\supsetneq J \\ \epsilon\neq 1}} |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon(J). \tag{3.3}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1} \langle b, h_I^\epsilon\rangle\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}\Big(\frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|}\Big) = I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} \circ \Pi_b^*(f).$$

The equation (3.3) gives that

$$c_\alpha \sum_{J\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1} \sum_{\substack{I: J\subsetneq I \\ \epsilon\neq 1}} \langle b, h_J^\eta\rangle\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon(J) |I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} h_J^\eta = \sum_{J\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h_J^\eta\rangle\langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f\rangle_J h_J^\eta = \Pi_b \circ I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(f).$$

Now we consider the contribution of the last term. Using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & c_\alpha \sum_{\substack{I,J\in\mathcal{D} \\ J\subsetneq I}} \sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h_J^\eta\rangle\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon(J) |J|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} h_J^\eta \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha/n} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h_J^\eta\rangle \Big(c_\alpha \langle f, h_{J^{(k)}}^\epsilon\rangle |J^{(k)}|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\Big) h_{J^{(k)}}^\epsilon(J) h_J^\eta \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha/n} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1} \langle b, h_J^\eta\rangle \langle I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}} f, h_{J^{(k)}}^\epsilon\rangle h_{J^{(k)}}^\epsilon(J) h_J^\eta \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha/n} B_k(b,\cdot) \circ I_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(f). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

The two-weight inequalities for paraproducts are as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k \geq 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $\mu, \lambda \in A_p$. Let $\nu = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. Then*

$$\big\|T_b(f)\big\|_{L^p(\lambda)} \lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\nu)} ||f||_{L^p(\mu)}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\big\|B_k(f,b)\big\|_{L^p(\lambda)} \lesssim 2^{nk/2} ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\nu)} ||f||_{L^p(\mu)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where T_b denotes either one of the operators Π_b, Π_b^*, Γ_b and $B_k(b,\cdot)$. The implied constant is independent of k.

Proof. (i) Let $\big\|g\big\|_{L^{p'}(\lambda^{-p'/p})}=1$. For $k\geq 1$, we will consider,

$$\langle B_k(b,f),g\rangle=\Big\langle b,\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1}\langle f,h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}\rangle h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}(I)\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle h_I^{\epsilon}\Big\rangle:=\langle b,\Phi\rangle.$$

Then we have

$$S_{\mathcal{D}}\Phi=\bigg(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1}\Big|\sum_{\eta\neq 1}\langle f,h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}\rangle h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}(I)\Big|^2|\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_I}{|I|}\bigg)^{1/2}\lesssim Mf\cdot S_{\mathcal{D}}g.$$

Thus, by the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}\Phi\big\|_{L^1(\nu)}\lesssim\big\|Mf\big\|_{L^p(\mu)}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}g\big\|_{L^{p'}(\lambda^{-p'/p})}\lesssim\big\|f\big\|_{L^p(\mu)}\big\|g\big\|_{L^{p'}(\lambda^{-p'/p})}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$\big\|B_k(b,f)\big\|_{L^p(\lambda)}\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}(\nu)}||f||_{L^p(\mu)},\ k\geq 1.$$

Using the similar arguments, we can show that the same result still holds for Π_b and Γ_b. A simple duality argument gives the corresponding result for Π_b^*. Finally, the two-weight inequality for $B_0(b,\cdot)$ follows from the fact $B_0(b,\cdot)=\Pi_b^*+\Gamma_b$.

(ii) We next show the two-weight boundedness of $B_k(\cdot,b)$. It suffices to show the cake $k\geq 1$, since $B_0(f,b)=B_0(b,f)$. For any $g\in L^{p'}(\lambda^{1-p'})$, we have

$$\langle B_k(f,b),g\rangle=\Big\langle b,\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\epsilon,\eta\neq 1}\langle f,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}(I)h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}\Big\rangle:=\langle b,\Psi\rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.3, it is enough to prove

$$\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi\big\|_{L^1(\nu)}\lesssim\big\|f\big\|_{L^p(\mu)}\big\|g\big\|_{L^{p'}(\lambda^{1-p'})}.$$

To obtain this, we write

$$S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi=\bigg(\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\Big(\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle f,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle h_{I^{(k)}}^{\eta}(I)\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_J}{|J|}\bigg)^{1/2}.$$

Then, the Hölder inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi&\lesssim\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle f,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle||\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|\Big)\frac{\mathbb{1}_J}{|J|}\\
&\leq\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}}\Big(\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle f,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2}\frac{\mathbb{1}_J}{|J|}\\
&\leq\bigg(\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle f,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_J}{|J|}\bigg)^{1/2}\bigg(\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle g,h_I^{\epsilon}\rangle|^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_J}{|J|}\bigg)^{1/2}\\
&:=(S_kf)(S_kg).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are reduced to demonstrating for $w \in A_p$

$$\big\|S_k f\big\|_{L^p(w)} \lesssim 2^{nk/2}||f||_{L^p(w)}.$$

In light of extrapolation theorem, it suffices to show it for the case $p = 2$. Indeed, there holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|S_k f\big\|^2_{L^2(w)} &= \sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{I:I^{(k)}=J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}} |\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle|^2\langle w^{-1}\rangle_I^{-1}\Big(\langle w^{-1}\rangle_I\langle w\rangle_J\Big)\\ &\le 2^{nk}[w]_{A_2}\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1}|\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle|^2\langle w^{-1}\rangle_I^{-1}\\ &\le 2^{nk}[w]_{A_2}\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon\neq 1}|\langle f, h_I^\epsilon\rangle|^2\langle w\rangle_I\\ &\le 2^{nk}[w]_{A_2}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}} f\big\|^2_{L^2(w)} \lesssim 2^{nk}\big\|f\big\|^2_{L^2(w)}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

The case $k = 1$ in Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.1.

4. Higher order commutator

Denote $T_\alpha = C_b^1(I_\alpha)$, and $F(z) = e^{bz}T_\alpha e^{-bz}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$C_b^{k+1}(I_\alpha) = C_b^k(T_\alpha) = F^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i}\oint_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{k+1}}dz,$$

where the integral is over any closed path around the origin. If we set

$$\Phi(z) := C_{n,p,q}([e^{bz}\mu]_{A_{p,q}}, [e^{bz}\lambda]_{A_{p,q}}),$$

then, for any $r > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|C_b^{k+1}(I_\alpha)\big\|_{L^p(\mu^p)\to L^q(\lambda^q)}\\ &\le \frac{k!}{2\pi}\oint_{|z|=r}\big\|e^{bz}T_\alpha e^{-bz}\big\|_{L^p(\mu^p)\to L^q(\lambda^q)}\frac{|dz|}{|z|^{k+1}}\\ &\le \frac{k!}{2\pi}\oint_{|z|=r}\big\|T_\alpha\big\|_{L^p((e^{bz}\mu)^p)\to L^q((e^{bz}\lambda)^q)}\frac{|dz|}{|z|^{k+1}}\\ &\le k!r^{-k}||b||_{BMO(\nu)}\sup_{|z|\le r}\big|\Phi(z)\big|.\end{aligned}$$

We need the following relationship between the $A_{p,q}$ weights and the BMO space.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $w \in A_{p,q}$ and $b \in BMO$. Then, there are constants $c_{n,p,q}, c'_{n,p,q} > 0$, depending only on the indicated parameters, such that*

$$[e^{Re(bz)}w]_{A_{p,q}} \le c'_{n,p,q}[w]_{A_{p,q}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$|z| \le \frac{c_{n,p,q}}{||b||_{BMO}(w^q)_{A_{q_0}}}, \quad q_0 = 1 + q/p'.$$

The notation $(w)_{A_p}$ means that $(w)_{A_p} := \max\big\{[w]_{A_\infty},\ [w^{1-p'}]_{A_\infty}\big\}$.

Note that $w \in A_{p,q}$ if and only if $w^q \in A_{q_0}$, and $[w]_{A_{p,q}} = [w^q]_{A_{q_0}}$. Thus, the above lemma follows from this basic fact and Lemma 2.1 [13].

We continue our proof. If we take

$$r = \frac{c_{n,p,q}}{||b||_{BMO}\max\big\{(\mu^q)_{A_{q_0}}, (\lambda^q)_{A_{q_0}}\big\}},$$

then Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\sup_{|z|\le r}\big|\Phi(z)\big| \le C_{n,p,q}(c'_{n,p,q}[\mu]_{A_{p,q}}, c'_{n,p,q}[\lambda]_{A_{p,q}}) := C'_{n,p,q}([\mu]_{A_{p,q}}, [\lambda]_{A_{p,q}}).$$

Collecting the above estimates, we deduce that

$$\big\|C_b^{k+1}(I_\alpha)\big\|_{L^p(\mu^p)\to L^q(\lambda^q)} \le ||b||_{BMO(\nu)}||b||^k_{BMO}C_{n,p,q,k}([\mu]_{A_{p,q}}, [\lambda]_{A_{p,q}}).$$

□

5. Bilinear Paraproduct Operators

In this section, we will treat the boundedness of four bilinear paraproduct operators, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_b(f_1,f_2) &:= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_1\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_P^\eta h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2};\\
\Delta_b(f_1,f_2) &:= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|P\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^\eta h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2};\\
\Xi_b(f_1,f_2) &:= \sum_{\substack{Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q_1\subsetneq Q_2}}\sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(Q_1)\frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|};\\
\Theta_b(f_1,f_2) &:= \sum_{Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(Q)\Big(\sum_{Q:Q_1\subsetneq Q\subsetneq Q_2}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The two-weight results for the above bilinear paraproducts are as follows.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $b\in BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be a fixed dyadic grid on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\big\|T_b(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where T_b denotes either one of the operators Λ_b, Δ_b, Ξ_b and Θ_b.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 5.1.

5.1. Estimate of Λ_b.

To analyze Λ_b. We perform the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_b(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1\subsetneq Q_2}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1} + \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1=Q_2}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1} + \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1,Q_2\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\\
&:= \Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_b^2(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_b^3(f_1,f_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We will dominate the three parts consecutively. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_P^\eta\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_2\in\mathcal{D}:Q_2\supsetneq Q_1\\ \epsilon_2\neq 1}}\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(Q_1)\Big)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2\rangle_{Q_1}|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_P^\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows that

$$\big\langle\Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle=\langle b,\Phi\rangle, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\Phi=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_1\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1\neq 1}\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2\rangle_{Q_1}|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_P^\eta.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\mathcal{D}}\Phi)^2 &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}|\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle|^2\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_1:Q_1\subsetneq P\\ \epsilon_1\neq 1}}\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2\rangle_{Q_1}|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}|\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle|^2\Big(\sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D}}|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle|f_1|\rangle_{Q_1}\langle|f_2|\rangle_{Q_1}\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\le (S_{\mathcal{D}}g)^2\cdot\mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_1|,|f_2|)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Consequently, combining Lemma 2.3, (5.1), (5.2) with (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\big\langle\Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle\big| &\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}\Phi\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}g\cdot\mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_1|,|f_2|)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}g\big\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|\mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_1|,|f_2|)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|g\big\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, Riesz representation theorem gives that

$$\big\|\Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Next we consider the contribution of Λ_b^2.

$$\Lambda_b^2(f_1,f_2)=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_1\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}(P)h_P^\eta$$

and

$$\big\langle\Lambda_b^2(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle=\langle b,\Psi\rangle,$$

where

$$\Psi=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_1\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}(P)h_P^\eta.$$

Then, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi)^2 &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}|\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle|^2\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_1:Q_1\subsetneq P\\ \epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}}\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_2}(P)\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}|\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle|^2\Big(\sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D}}|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle|f_1|\rangle_{Q_1}\langle|f_2|\rangle_{Q_1}\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\le (S_{\mathcal{D}}g)^2\cdot\mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_1|,|f_2|)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly argument as we deal with Λ_b^1, one may obtain

$$\big\|\Lambda_b^2(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim||b||_{BMO_{\mathcal{D}}^2}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As for the third part, it can be split in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_b^3(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q_2=P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}+\sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q_2\subsetneq P\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}+\sum_{\substack{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_2\subsetneq Q_1}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\\ &:= \Lambda_b^{3,1}(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_b^{3,2}(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_b^{3,3}(f_1,f_2).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_b^{3,3}(f_1,f_2)=\Lambda_b^1(f_1,f_2).$$

From the equality (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_b^{3,1}(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\epsilon_2}h_P^\eta\sum_{\substack{Q_1\in\mathcal{D}:Q_1\supsetneq P\\ \epsilon_1\neq 1}}\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)\\ &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\epsilon_2}h_P^\eta\\ &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_2,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\quad+\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1\\ \eta\neq\epsilon_2}}\langle b,h_P^\eta\rangle\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}|P|^{-\frac{1}{2}}h_P^{\epsilon_2+\eta}\\ &:= \Lambda_{b,=}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_{b,\neq}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2).\end{aligned}$$

It immediately yields that

$$\big\langle\Lambda_{b,=}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle=\langle b,\Psi_=\rangle,\quad\big\langle\Lambda_{b,\neq}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle=\langle b,\Psi_\neq\rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_= &:= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\langle f_2,h_P^\eta\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P\langle g\rangle_P h_P^\eta,\\ \Psi_\neq &:= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1\\ \eta\neq\epsilon_2}}\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle g,h_P^{\eta+\epsilon_2}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P h_P^\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the following pointwise estimates:

$$(S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi_{=})^2=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\big|\langle f_2,h_P^{\eta}\rangle\big|^2\big(|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P\langle g\rangle_P\big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\leq (S_{\mathcal{D}}f_2)^2\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(f_1,g)^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi_{\neq})^2&=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\Big(\sum_{\epsilon_2\neq 1,\epsilon_2\neq\eta}\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle g,h_P^{\eta+\epsilon_2}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\&\lesssim\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\Big(\sum_{\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle|f_1|\rangle_P\langle|g|\rangle_P\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\&\lesssim\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_2\neq 1}\big|\langle f_2,h_P^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\big|^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(f_1,g)^2\\&\leq(S_{\mathcal{D}}f_2)^2\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(f_1,g)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, by the Hölder inequality and (2.3), it now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\big\langle\Lambda_b^{3,1}(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle\Big|&\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\Big(\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi_{=}\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}\Psi_{\neq}\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\&\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}f_2\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(f_1,g)\big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|S_{\mathcal{D}}f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(f_1,g)\big\|_{L^{p_2'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|g\big\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

This gives that

$$\big\|\Lambda_b^{3,1}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Finally, we consider the estimate of $\Lambda_b^{3,2}$. The average identity (2.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_b^{3,2}(f_1,f_2)&=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\Q_2\subsetneq P}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}(Q_2)h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\sum_{\substack{Q_1\in\mathcal{D}:Q_1\supsetneq P\\\epsilon_1\neq 1}}\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)\\&=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\Q_2\subsetneq P}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}(Q_2)h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\big\langle\Lambda_b^{3,2}(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle=\langle b,\psi\rangle$$

where

$$\psi=\sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\Q_2\subsetneq P}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle g,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}(Q_2)h_P^{\eta}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathcal{D}}\psi &= \Big(\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_2:Q_2\subsetneq P\\ \epsilon_2\neq 1}}\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle g,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}(Q_2)\Big)^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\le \sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_2\neq 1}|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\big|\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle g,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\big|\Big(\sum_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{D}:P\supsetneq Q_2\\ \eta\neq 1}}\big|\langle f_1\rangle_P h_P^{\eta}(Q_2)\big|^2\frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\Big)^{\frac12} \qquad (5.4)\\
&\lesssim M(f_1)\sum_{Q_2\in\mathcal{D}}|Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle|f_2|\rangle_{Q_2}\langle g\rangle_{Q_2}|Q_2|\Big(\sum_{P:P\supsetneq Q_2}\frac{1}{|P|^2}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\lesssim M(f_1)\cdot\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}(|f_2|,|g|).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding as we did in (5.3), it follows that

$$\big\|\Lambda_b^{3,2}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

□

5.2. **Estimate of Δ_b.** In this subsection, we will deal with Δ_b. Thanks to (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_b(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\cap Q_2\neq\emptyset}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle|P\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\sum_{\substack{Q_1\in\mathcal{D}:Q_1\supsetneq P\\ \epsilon_1\neq 1}}\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}(P)\\
&= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\cap Q_2\neq\emptyset}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle\langle f_1\rangle_P|P\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h_P^{\eta}h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q_2=P}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}+\sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subsetneq Q_2}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}+\sum_{\substack{P,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q_2\subsetneq P}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\\
&:= \Delta_{b,1}(f_1,f_2)+\Delta_{b,2}(f_1,f_2)+\Delta_{b,3}(f_1,f_2).
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_{b,3}(f_1,f_2)=\Lambda_b^{3,2}(f_1,f_2),$$

and

$$\Delta_{b,1}(f_1,f_2)=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1\\ \eta=\epsilon_2}}+\sum_{P\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\substack{\eta,\epsilon_2\neq 1\\ \eta\neq\epsilon_2}}=\Lambda_{b,=}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2)+\Lambda_{b,\neq}^{3,1}(f_1,f_2).$$

Thus, it suffices to bound $\Delta_{b,2}$. Indeed, there holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{b,2}(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P h_P^{\eta}\sum_{\substack{Q_2\in:Q_2\supsetneq P\\ \epsilon_2\neq 1}}\langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(P)\\
&= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1}\langle b,h_P^{\eta}\rangle|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P\langle f_2\rangle_P h_P^{\eta}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it yields that

$$\big\langle \Delta_{b,2}(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle = \Big\langle b, \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1} \langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle |P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f_1\rangle_P \langle f_2\rangle_P h_P^\eta \Big\rangle := \langle b,\phi\rangle.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(S_D\phi)^2 &= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{D},\eta\neq 1} \big|\langle g,h_P^\eta\rangle\big|^2 \Big(|P|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_1\rangle_P\langle f_2\rangle_P\Big)^2 \frac{\mathbb{1}_P}{|P|}\\ &\le (S_{\mathcal{D}}g)^2\cdot \mathcal{M}_\alpha(f_1,f_2)^2,\end{aligned}$$

which parallels with (5.2). By Theorem 2.1, it yields that

$$\big\|\Delta_{b,2}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}} \big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

□

5.3. **Estimate of Ξ_b.** In order to get the two-weight inequality of Ξ_b, we only need to note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_b(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle |Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_2\in\mathcal{D}:Q_2\supsetneq Q_1\\ \epsilon_2\neq 1}} \langle f_2,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(Q_1)\Big)\frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|}\\ &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_2\rangle_{Q_1} |Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|}\\ &= \Lambda^{3,1}_{b,=}(f_2,f_1).\end{aligned}$$

□

5.4. **Estimate of Θ_b.** Using averaging identity (2.2) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_b(f_1,f_2) &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\supsetneq Q_1} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{Q_2\in\mathcal{D}:Q_2\supsetneq Q\\ \epsilon_2\neq 1}} \langle f_1,h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}\rangle h_{Q_2}^{\epsilon_2}(Q)\Big)\frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q}}{|Q|}\\ &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \langle b,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\supsetneq Q_1} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_2\rangle_Q \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q}}{|Q|}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\big\langle \Theta_b(f_1,f_2),g\big\rangle = \langle b,\gamma\rangle := \Big\langle b, \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\supsetneq Q_1} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \langle f_2\rangle_Q\langle g\rangle_Q h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\Big\rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(S_D\gamma)^2 &= \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \big|\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\big|^2 \Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\supsetneq Q_1} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle f_2\rangle_Q\langle g\rangle_Q\Big)^2 \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q_1\in\mathcal{D},\epsilon_1\neq 1} \big|\langle f_1,h_{Q_1}^{\epsilon_1}\rangle\big|^2 \Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} |Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle |f_2|\rangle_Q\langle |g|\rangle_Q\Big)^2 \frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|}\\ &\le (S_{\mathcal{D}}f_1)^2\cdot \mathcal{I}_\alpha(|f_2|,|g|)^2.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality is similar to (5.4), since Lemma 2.2 holds. Therefore, one may obtain that

$$\big\|\Theta_b(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim ||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\big\|f_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|f_2\big\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

□

6. Characterization of Bilinear Commutator

By symmetry, it is enough to show the result for $[b,\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]_1$.

6.1. **Upper Bound for Bilinear Commutator.** Applying the decomposition with respect to Haar functions (2.1), we get

$$[b,\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]_1(f_1,f_2)=\sum_{P,Q_1,Q_2\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{\eta,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\neq 1}\langle b,h^\eta_P\rangle\langle f_1,h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1}\rangle\langle f_2,h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\rangle[h^\eta_P,\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]_1(h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1},h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}).$$

First, one may Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1},h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2})&=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1}\rangle_Q\langle h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\rangle_Q\mathbb{1}_Q\\&=\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q\subsetneq Q_1\cap Q_2}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\mathbb{1}_Q\Big)h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\\&=c_\alpha|Q_1\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}.\end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha(\mathbb{1}_{Q_1},h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2})&=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}:Q\subsetneq Q_2}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\frac{|Q_1\cap Q|}{|Q|}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\mathbb{1}_Q\\&=|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}\mathbb{1}_{\{Q_1\subsetneq Q_2\}}+\Big(\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{D}\\ Q\subsetneq Q_1\cap Q_2}}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\mathbb{1}_Q\Big)h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\\&\quad+|Q_1|\sum_{Q:Q_1\subsetneq Q\subsetneq Q_2}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}\\&=(1+c_\alpha)|Q_1\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}+|Q_1|\sum_{Q:Q_1\subsetneq Q\subsetneq Q_2}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[h^\eta_P,\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]_1(h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1},h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2})\\&=\begin{cases}c_\alpha h^\eta_P h^{\epsilon_1}_{Q_1}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\big(|Q_1\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}-|P\cap Q_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\big), & \text{if } P\subsetneq Q_1;\\ -|Q_1|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\mathbb{1}_{\{Q_1\subsetneq Q_2\}}\frac{\mathbb{1}_{Q_1}}{|Q_1|}-\sum_{Q:Q_1\subsetneq Q\subsetneq Q_2}|Q|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}h^{\epsilon_2}_{Q_2}\frac{\mathbb{1}_Q}{|Q|}, & \text{if } P=Q_1 \text{ and } \epsilon_1=\eta\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it yields that

$$[b,\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha]_1(f_1,f_2)=c_\alpha\Lambda_b(f_1,f_2)-c_\alpha\Delta_b(f_1,f_2)-\Xi_b(f_1,f_2)-\Theta_b(f_1,f_2).$$

From Proposition 5.1 and the dyadic version of the inequality (2.5), it follows that

$$\big\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim ||b||_{BMO_{\mathcal{D}}}.$$

□

6.2. **Lower Bound for Bilinear Commutator.** We will follow the scheme of the proof in [14] to track the precise constants. Let $||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}=1$ and the dyadic cube J satisfying

$$\frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle|^2\geq\frac{1}{2}.$$

By the John-Nirenberg estimates, for $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}$, we have

$$1\lesssim|J|^{-1/p}\Big\|\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon\Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim|J|^{-1/q}\Big\|\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon\Big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim 1.$$

We split the function $b=b'+b''$, where

$$b'=\sum_{\substack{I:\ell(I)\leq\ell(J)\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon.$$

Then, for any $x\in J$, we get

$$[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1(\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)(x)=b'\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)(x)-\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(b'\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)(x).$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check that

$$b'\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)(x)=(1+c_\alpha)|J|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon,$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}(b'\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)(x)=(1+c_\alpha)\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}|I|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon.$$

Thus, we have

$$\big\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1(\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\gtrsim|J|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}}\Big\|\sum_{\substack{I:I\subset J\\ \epsilon\neq 1}}\langle b,h_I^\epsilon\rangle h_I^\epsilon\Big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\gtrsim|J|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}+\frac{1}{q}}.$$

On the other hand, it holds that

$$\big\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1(\mathbb{1}_J,\mathbb{1}_J)\big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim|J|^{\frac{1}{p}}\big\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\big\|[b,\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{\mathcal{D}}]_1\big\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\gtrsim 1=||b||_{BMO^2_{\mathcal{D}}}\simeq||b||_{BMO_{\mathcal{D}}}.$$

□

References

[1] S. Bloom, *A commutator theorem and weighted BMO*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), no. 1, 103-122.

[2] L. Chaffee, *Characterizations of BMO through commutators of bilinear singular integral operators*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.4587.

[3] S. Chanillo, *A note on commutators*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. (1) 31 (1982), 7-16.

[4] X. Chen and Q. Xue, *Weighted estimates for a class of multilinear fractional type operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 362 (2010) 355-373.

[5] D. Cruz-Uribe, K. Moen, *Sharp norm inequalities for commutators of classical operators*, Publ. Mat. 56 (2011), no. 1, 147-190.

[6] L. Dalenc, Y. Ou, *Upper bound for multi-parameter iterated commutators* available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5994.

[7] S. H. Ferguson, M. T. Lacey, *A characterization of product BMO by commutators*, Acta Math. 189 (2002), no. 2, 143-160.

[8] I. Holmes, R. Rahm, S. Spencer, *Two-weight inequalities for commutators with fractional integral operators*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05331v1.

[9] I. Holmes, M. T. Lacey, and B. D. Wick, *Bloom's inequality: commutators in a two-weight setting*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.07947.

[10] I. Holmes, M. T. Lacey, and B. D. Wick, *Commutators in the two-weight setting*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05747.

[11] I. Holmes, B. D. Wick, *Two weight inequalities for iterated commutators with Calderón-Zygmund operators*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.03769.

[12] T. P. Hytönen, *On Petermichl's dyadic shift and the Hilbert transform*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 346 (2008), no. 21-22, 1133-1136.

[13] T. P. Hytönen, *The Holmes–Wick theorem on two-weight bounds for higher order commutators revisited*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.02244v1

[14] M. T. Lacey, *Commutators with Reisz potentials in one and several parameters*, Hokkaido Math. J. 36 (2007), no. 1, 175-191.

[15] M. T. Lacey, S. Petermichl, J. C. Pipher and B. D. Wick, *Multiparameter Riesz Commutators*, Amer. Jour. Math., 2007, 131 (3), 731-769.

[16] S. Petermichl, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, *Why the Riesz transforms are averages of the dyadic shifts?*, Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations (El Escorial, 2000), 2002.

Mingming Cao, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: m.cao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

Qingying Xue, School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn